MARINE GEOSPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MGDI) DECISIONS: A *SINE QUA NON* TO UBIQUITOUS GEOSPATIAL SOLUTIONS TO MARINE APPLICATIONS

¹A. I. Hamid-Mosaku, ¹M.R. Mahmud, and ²M.S. Mohd²

¹ Hydrographic Research and Training Office, Department of Geomatic Engineering, Faculty of Geoinformation and Real Estate, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM), 81310 UTM, Johor Bahru, Johor, MALAYSIA..

² Department of Geoinformatics, Faculty of Geoinformation and Real Estate, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM), 81310 UTM, Johor Bahru, Johor, MALAYSIA.

email: ¹ ahmaai@yahoo.com, aihamidmosaku2@live.utm.my, 1 razalimahmud@utm.my, 2 safie@utm.my

Abstract

Of recent, there have been agitations and more concerns about the concepts and development of Marine Geospatial Data Infrastructure (MGDI). While these trends are yet to be fully accomplished, there exist the dearth of knowledge gaps in evolving trends about the need for MGDI to support decisions, particularly, in relations to abundant marine activities that are ocean uses based as well as for other maritime applications. The objective of this paper therefore is to bring to light this new emerging concept of MGDI Decisions; as a substantial and indispensable MGDI concept, providing ubiquitous geospatial solutions to marine applications for effective decision making. In addition, marine activities, ocean uses based have multi-agencies characterisations; thus, requiring methodologies that can effectively handle such multi-criteria complex environment. Such methodologies that are based on multi-criteria evaluation trends are used for this study. The results obtained showed the effectiveness of the proposed algorithms for MGDI Decisions. A number of marine applications are being considered for further evaluations; providing geospatial solutions to varied maritime activities for effective ubiquitous service deliveries.

Keywords: Marine Geospatial Data Infrastructure $(MGDI)^1$, MGDI Decisions², marine environment³, ocean resources⁴, maritime activities ocean used-based⁵.

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Margin Marine Geospatial Data Infrastructure (MGDI)

The recent drives by coastal states towards optimising the potentials that are accruable in deriving maximum benefits of maritime extents based on the varied available resources of the oceans as well as other water bodies necessitated the concepts and developments of Marine Geospatial Data Infrastructure (MGDI). For the Asia Pacific region, the agitations commenced over a century ago, since around 2000, and later through the activities of the member countries of the Permanent Committee on GIS Infrastructure for Asia and the Pacific (PCGIAP)(PCGIAP, 2000), particularly for the marine cadastre initiatives. Despite the number of different efforts at different administrations, the initiative is yet to be fully harness in terms of its full potentials; more so when compared to such other initiatives in other regions. The concepts and developments of MGDI is burn out of the fact for sustainable

ocean resources in terms of management, conservation, and monitoring (Gouveia *et al.*, 2004; Hamid-Mosaku and Mahmud, 2010b; Hamid-Mosaku, Mahmud, and Mohd, 2011a; Hamid-Mosaku, Mahmud, and Mohd, 2011b; Hamid-Mosaku, Mahmud, and Mohd, 2011c, d; Miller and Small, 2003; UN, 2000, 2001; UNCED, 1992). Thus, as a subset of the National Geospatial Data Infrastructure (NGDI) of coastal state (Mahmud, 2010; Pepper, 2009; Philpott, 2007; Rajabifard, Binns, and Williamson, 2005), the geospatial datasets for the various stakeholders must therefore be fulfilled as is the case for the land. Others related developments are provided in (GeoConnections, 2002, 2009; Pepper, 2009; Rajabifard, Binns, and Williamson, 2005; Vaez, 2012; Vaez, 2007a, b; Vaez, 2010); INSPIRE project (Longhorn, 2006); MOTIIVE project: (Ng'ang'a *et al.*, 2004; Pepper, 2009; Rajabifard, 2002; Rajabifard and Williamson, 2001).

1.2 MGDI Decisions

The MGDI in terms of being an initiatives and in its development have been proven to be characterised as a multi-faceted concepts in most of the case studies in literatures (Bruton, 2007; Cho, 2006; Hamid-Mosaku and Mahmud, 2009; Hamid-Mosaku, Mahmud, and Mohd, 2011a; Hamid-Mosaku, Mahmud, and Mohd, 2011b; Hamid-Mosaku, Mahmud, and Mohd, 2011c, d; Saharuddin, 2001; Taib, 2009a, b; Vaez, 2010; Wescott, 2000) often in a fragmented and uncoordinated fashion, due to many agencies involvements. Taking viable decisions among such establishments require approaches that built in these multi-concepts into the modelling parameter.

The agitations for the decisions aspects of MGDI / NSDI from literature have received an adequate attention compared to either the main implementation of MGDI and NSDI or partial. In all, areas wherein the implementation were achieved opined to the needs for the decisions making consideration for MGDI and NSDI (Feeney, 2003; Mokhtar, 2012).

This is the bane of the new concept of *MGDI Decision* in cognisance with the understanding that there exists a multi-conceptual nature of the stakeholders in the realms of decision making as well as the marine environment needs, hydrographic services, marine surveys services, and the various applications to be explored.

2 MARINE ACTIVITIES and APPLICATION AREAS

Over the years, there have been emphasis on land use / land covers (LULC) phenomena, with no adequate considerations for the ocean uses / marine activities; as rampant for LULC. In line with these trends, according to Hamid-Mosaku, Mahmud and Mohd (2011c, d) since the distributions of the living and non-living natural and man-made resource of the oceans abound, this necessitates the categorisations of marine ocean uses based on marine activities (MOUOA), as provided in Table 1; subject to the fact that such categorisations are both application and location dependents. It also consistitues the hydrographic services and the peculiar marine survey services, including hydrographic surveys and campaigns, site investigation survey and environmental surveys and studies.

Thus, there is need for assessing the performance of these ocean uses in line with the diversified marine activities. The need for such assessment as well as the innovative geospatial solutions pursuant to the development of MGDI are highlighted in Hamid-Mosaku, Mahmud and Mohd (2011a; 2011b; 2011c, d); Hamid-Mosaku and Mahmud

(2010a). This must be achieved from the backdrop of the various considerations for the stakeholders' interest.

S/N	Traditional Marine-Based	Non-Traditional and New Marine- Based
i.	Marine Fishing	Marine Eco-tourism
ii.	Non-renewable resources - Crude Petroleum and Natural Gas Production	Marine Education
iii	Sea Transport Services	Sports and Recreation,
iv	NavalAdministration,Sovereignty and Defence	Manufacture of Seafood
v.	Telecommunication	Marine engineering works and services; Manufacture of Marine Engines.
vi	Cable Laying	Fresh water resource management
vii.	Industrial Discharge of Waste	Integrated coastal zone management
viii.	Aquaculture	Renewable resources: e.g. fish stock management.
ix.	Conservation	Habitat management
х.	Marine heritage	Ocean Research and Development
xi.	Marine Biotechnology	Disaster management and emergency response

Table 1: Categorisation of Ocean uses, Ocean Activities (Adapter from: Hamid-Mosaku and Mahmud (2010a)).

The methodology adopted therefore is based on a multicriteria evaluation concepts, as partly presented in Hamid-Mosaku, Mahmud and Mohd (2011a; 2011b; 2011c, d); Hamid-Mosaku and Mahmud (2010a).

Consideration for the assessment of these ocean uses were carried out based on the proposed algoritms and with Non-renewable resources - Crude Petroleum and Natural Gas Production on the lead, with Naval Administration, Sovereignty and Defence coming next, with Marine Heritage as the least for the Traditional Marine-Based. For Non-Traditional and New Marine-Based, the Integrated coastal zone management was highly favoured on the lead while there were tallies for Sports and Recreation, Marine Eco-tourism, and Habitat management with same ranking.

3 UBIQUITOUS GEOSPATIAL SOLUTIONS

For effective deliveries of hydrographic services to the marine communities, require viable decisions by the stakeholders that must be ubiquitous in nature. This means in effect, 'geospatial solutions' that are readily available, accessible, timely and distributable anywhere and in different formats. Such decisions and solutions must therefore be seen from the holistic views within the realm of MGDI Decisions. To date, MGDI Decision are yet to be given adequate research attention in literature despite the overwhelming importance and implications of MGDI to every coastal state, it is therefore a *sine qua non* to ubiquitous geospatial solution to marine applications.

4 CONCLUSION

In order to assess the innovative geospatial solutions to the development of MGDI, the various factors of the various oceans' living and non-living marine resources should be given adequate sustainability considerations. Access to data is pivotal to this assessment, the non-availability and / or inaccessibility to such information would hamper the results and necessary information deduced will not be adequate. Realizing the facts that some of the marine data are classified, the methodology to be adopted is been addressed as part of this on-going research

5 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors wish to acknowledge the support for part of this research, through the International Doctorate Fellowship (IDF) 2011 Award, provided by Universiti Teknologi Malaysia and the Ministry of Higher Education (MOHE) of Malaysia.

6 References

Books

Bruton, M.J. (2007). *MALAYSIA The Planning of a Nation*: PERSADA (Persatuan Pegawai Perancang Bandar dan Desa MALAYSIA.

Chapter in Book

Feeney, M. (2003). SDIs and Decision Support, Chapter 12. In I. Williamson, Rajabifard, A. and Feeney, M. (Ed.), *Developing Spatial Data Infrastructures - From Concept to Reality*. Florida: CRC Press, Florida, USA.

Journals / Serials

- Cho, D.O. (2006). Evaluation of the ocean governance system in Korea. *Marine Policy*, 30, 570 -579.
 Gouveia, C.Fonseca, A.Câmara, A., and Ferreira, F. (2004). Promoting the use of environmental data collected by concerned citizens through information and communication technologies. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 71(2), 135-154.
- Mahmud, M.R. (2010). In Pursuit of Marine Geospatial Data Infrastructure. *Hydro International, 14*. Miller, R.B., and Small, C. (2003). Cities from space: potential applications of remote sensing in
- urban environmental research and policy. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 6(2), 129-137. Ng'ang'a, S.Sutherland, M.Cockburn, S., and Nichols, S. (2004). Toward a 3D marine cadastre in
- support of good ocean governance: a review of the technical framework requirements. *Computers, Environment and Urban Systems, 28*(5), 443-470.
- Wescott, G. (2000). The Development and Initial Implementation of Australia's Integrated and Comprehensive Oceans Policy. *Oceans and Coastal Management, 43*(10-1 I).
- Rajabifard, A.Binns, A., and Williamson, I. (2005). Administering the Marine Environment The Spatial Dimension *Journal of Spatial Science 50*(2).
- Saharuddin, A.H. (2001). National Ocean Policy-New Opportunities for Malaysian Ocean Development *Journal of Marine Policy*, 25.
- Philpott, D. (2007). Geospatial Information Systems and Scalable Solutions. *Homeland Defense Journal*, 5(1), 44 to 48.
- Vaez, S., Rajabifard, A.,and Williamson, I. (2007b). Facilitating Land-Sea Interface through Seamless SDI Volume III. *Coordinates, III*, 14-18.

Vaez, S., and Rajabifard, Abbas. (2012). Seamless SDI Design by Using UML Modelling. International Journal of Spatial Data Infrastructures Research, 7, 207-224.

Conferences / Workshop

- Hamid-Mosaku, A.I., and Mahmud, M.R. (2009). Common Issues in the Implementation of Marine Geospatial Data Infrastructure for Malaysia Paper presented at the 2009 East Asia Hydrographic Symposium and Exhibition, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- Hamid-Mosaku, A.I., and Mahmud, M.R. (2010a). *An Extended Innovative Model of Marine Geospatial Data Infrastructure for Sustainability*. Paper presented at the International Graduate Conference on Engineering, Science and Humanity (IGCESH), Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, 81310 UTM, Skudai, Johor, Malaysia.
- Hamid-Mosaku, A.I., and Mahmud, M.R. (2010b). *Harnessing the New Trends in Marine Geospatial Data Infrastructure (MGDI) Development*. Paper presented at the Geospatial World-India 2011 Conference.
- Hamid-Mosaku, A.I.Mahmud, M.R., and Mohd, M.S. (2011a). Assessing the Innovative Geospatial Solution to Marine Geospatial Data Infrastructure (MGDI) Development Paper presented at the International Symposium & Exhibition on Geoinformation (ISG 2011) & ISPRS Commission II/5 (Multidimensional GIS & Mobile Data Models) and ISPRS Commission II/7 (Decision Support Systems and LBS) Shah Alam Convention Centre (SACC), Selangor, Malaysia.
- Hamid-Mosaku, A.I.Mahmud, M.R., and Mohd, M.S. (2011b). Assessment of Criteria Weightings for Sustainable Marine Geospatial Data Infrastructure (MGDI) Paper presented at the Multi-Disciplinary Research and Global development, Nasfat Eti-Osa Lecture Theatre, Fountain University, Osogbo, Osun State, Nigeria.
- Hamid-Mosaku, A.I.Mahmud, M.R., and Mohd, M.S. (2011c). Innovative and Sustainable Marine Geospatial Data Infrastructure (MGDI) for Malaysia Paper presented at the South East Asian Survey Congress and the 13th International Surveyors' Congress (SEASC & ISC) 2011; in conjunction with Institution of Surveyors', Putra World Trade Centre, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- Hamid-Mosaku, A.I.Mahmud, M.R., and Mohd, M.S. (2011d). Sustainable Framework for Marine Geospatial Data Infrastructure (MGDI) Development. Paper presented at the 2011 Faculty of Geoinformation and Real Estate (FGRE) Colloquium, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, 81310 UTM Johor Bahru, Johor, Malaysia.
- Longhorn, R. (2006). *Coastal/Marine Spatial Data Infrastructure Benefits and Responsibilities of Stakeholders*. Paper presented at the LITTORAL 2006 Workshop, Poland.
- Mokhtar, N.A., binti Hj. (2012). *MyNODC: Spatial Data Info-Structure for Marine Spatial Data Planning*. Paper presented at the SIMPOSIUM MAKLUMAT GEOSPATIAL KEBANGSAAN KE-5, Dewan B, Pusat Konvensyen Antarabangsa Putrajaya (PICC), Malaysia.
- Rajabifard, A., and Williamson, I.P. (2001). *Spatial Data Infrastructures: Concept, SDI Hierarchy and Future directions.* Paper presented at the GEOMATICS'80 Conference, Tehran, Iran.
- PCGIAP. (2000). Permanent Committee on GIS Infrastructure for Asia & the Pacific.
- Pepper, J. (2009). SDI–The Hydrographic Dimension. IHO MSDI Working Group. Paper presented at the Hydrographic Society Symposium
- Taib, K. (2009a). Expanding Geospatial Community-Geospatial for All, All for Geospatial. Paper presented at the invited seminar lecture Marwa Meeting Room, CO3, Faculty of Geoinformation Science and Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, 81310 UTM, Skudai, Johor, Malaysia.
- Taib, K. (2009b). Street and Places Names in the Malaysia SDI. Paper presented at the invited seminar lecture Faculty of Geoinformation Science and Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, 81310 UTM, Skudai, Johor, Malaysia.
- Vaez, S., Rajabifard, A., Binns, A., and Williamson, I. (2007a). Seamless SDI Model to Facilitate Spatially Enabled Land Sea Interface. Paper presented at the The National Biennial Conference of the Spatial Sciences Institute, Proceedings of SSC 2007, Hobart, Australia.

Unpublished Materials (e.g theses, reports & documents)

GeoConnections. (2002). Marine User Requirements for Geospatial Data Summary 2001 Ottawa, Canada.

GeoConnections. (2009). GeoConnections Annual Report 2007-2008. Ottawa, Canada.

Rajabifard, A. (2002). *Diffusion for Regional Spatial Data Infrastructures: particular reference to Asia and the Pacific* Unpublished PhD, The university of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia.

Vaez, S.S. (2010). *Building a Seamless SDI Model for Land and Marine Environments*. Unpublished PhD Thesis, The University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia.

UN. (2000). Lisbon Agenda, 2000.

UN. (2001). Gothenburg Agenda 2001.

UNCED. (1992). *Agenda 21, Chapter 17*. Paper presented at the United Nations Conference on Environment & Development, AGENDA 21.

7 Copyright

The Symposium Secretariat of ISM hereby has the copyright clearance.